

Reviewer Recommendation and Comments for Manuscript Number PONETEST-D-20-00021

Astrocyte senescence promotes glutamate toxicity in cortical neurons

Original Submission  
plos plos

Recommendation: No Recommendation

Cancel Save & Submit Later Upload Reviewer Attachments Proof & Print Proceed

Reviewer Instructions

Thank you for agreeing to contribute your expert opinion to the evaluation of this manuscript.

Assessing the manuscript

As you evaluate the manuscript, consider whether it satisfies the *PLOS ONE* criteria for publication. Consult these resources for additional information:

- [Reviewer Guidelines](#). An overview of our peer review process and what to consider in your review.
- [PLOS Reviewer Center](#). Peer review how-to information and strategies.
- Other questions? Contact us at [plosone@plos.org](mailto:plosone@plos.org)

Submitting your review

To complete your review, answer the questions in the review form below. (A red asterisk (\*) indicates that a response is required.) Enter the main body of your review under question 5, along with any concerns about potential competing interests on the part of the authors, data availability, or research ethics. Declare your own potential competing interests under the section titled Confidential to Editor. This information is visible only to the editor and the journal office.

Confidentiality

The review process is strictly confidential. If you become aware of any issue that could potentially compromise the integrity of the process, contact the journal before consulting with colleagues.

Published peer review history

If this manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors will have the option to publish the peer review history alongside the final article. The peer review history package includes the complete editorial decision letter, with the reviews, for each version of the manuscript, as well as the authors' responses to reviewers.

Anonymity

Your identity will not be revealed to the authors unless you sign your review, or upload an attachment that has your name in the file name or contents. If you choose to sign your review, your name will appear in the editorial decision letter where the authors and other reviewers can see it. If the authors opt to publish the peer review history of their manuscript, the editorial decision letter will be published, including your signed peer review.

Review Questions

\*Comments to the Author

[Insert Special Character](#)

1. Does the manuscript adhere to the experimental procedures and analyses described in the Registered Report Protocol?

If the manuscript reports any deviations from the planned experimental procedures and analyses, those must be reasonable and adequately justified.

Please select a response

\* 2. If the manuscript reports exploratory analyses or experimental procedures not outlined in the original Registered Report Protocol, are these reasonable, justified and methodologically sound?

A Registered Report may include valid exploratory analyses not previously outlined in the Registered Report Protocol, as long as they are described as such.

Please select a response

\* 3. Are the conclusions supported by the data and do they address the research question presented in the Registered Report Protocol?

The manuscript must describe a technically sound piece of scientific research with data that supports the conclusions. The conclusions must be drawn appropriately based on the research question(s) outlined in the Registered Report Protocol and on the data presented.

Please select a response

\*4. Have the authors made all data underlying the findings in their manuscript fully available?

The PLOS Data policy requires authors to make all data underlying the findings described in their manuscript fully available without restriction, with rare exception (please refer to the Data Availability Statement in the manuscript PDF file). The data should be provided as part of the manuscript or its supporting information, or deposited to a public repository. For example, in addition to summary statistics, the data points behind means, medians and variance measures should be available. If there are restrictions on publicly sharing data—e.g. participant privacy or use of data from a third party—those must be specified.

Please select a response

\*5. Is the manuscript presented in an intelligible fashion and written in standard English?

PLOS ONE does not copyedit accepted manuscripts, so the language in submitted articles must be clear, correct, and unambiguous. Any typographical or grammatical errors should be corrected at revision, so please note any specific errors here.

Please select a response

\*6. Review Comments to the Author

Please use the space provided to explain your answers to the questions above. (Please upload your review as an attachment if it exceeds 20,000 characters) (Limit 100 to 20000 Characters)

Character Count: 0

\*7. PLOS authors have the option to publish the peer review history of their article (what does this mean?). If published, this will include your full peer review and any attached files.

If you choose "no", your identity will remain anonymous but your review may still be made public.

Do you want your identity to be public for this peer review? For information about this choice, including consent withdrawal, please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

\*Confidential to Editor

1. Do you have any potential or perceived competing interests that may influence your review? Please review our [Competing Interests policy](#) and declare any potential interests that you feel the Editor should be aware of when considering your review. If you have no competing interests, please write "I have no competing interests." (Limit 20000 Characters)

Character Count: 0

2. Did you receive any assistance in preparing this review (e.g. from a post-doc or graduate student)? If yes, please provide his/her name and title here. If you have chosen to reveal your identity to the authors in question 6 above, please include the name of the person who assisted you in the response to question 6 as well. (optional) (Limit 200 Characters)

Character Count: 0

3. If accepted, do you think this submission should be highlighted on the PLOS ONE website? PLOS ONE does not evaluate manuscripts based on perceived significance or readership. We aim to provide tools for readers to filter and evaluate our publications. (optional)

Please select a response

ORCID Reviewer Credit

**Note:** This reviewer's default response is 'No' so this Review will not be sent to ORCID; you cannot change this as you are proxied in for this person. The Reviewer may change this after you submit the review, by going to their Completed Reviewer Assignments folder and using the 'ORCID Deposit Authorization' action link. They must log in to do so - you cannot do that by proxy.